

Mental Health Awareness Week 2021



Nature

How connecting with nature benefits our mental health

Executive summary

Our relationship with nature – how much we notice, think about and appreciate our natural surroundings – is a critical factor in supporting good mental health and preventing distress.

When it comes to mental health benefits, nature has a very wide definition. It can mean green spaces such as parks, woodland or forests as well as blue spaces like rivers, wetlands, beaches or canals. It also includes trees on an urban street, private gardens, verges and even indoor plants or window boxes. Surprisingly, even watching nature documentaries has been shown to be good for our mental health.

This is great news as it means the mental health benefits of nature can be made available to nearly every one of us, no matter where we live.

This report provides a summary of the evidence of how and why our relationship with nature is so important and beneficial to our mental health. The report highlights the unequal access to nature's benefits for specific groups and the steps needed to address that inequality.

Nature is an important need for many and vital in keeping us emotionally, psychologically and physically healthy.



Our 'Coronavirus: Mental Health in the Pandemic' research programme tells us that spending time outdoors has been one of the key factors enabling people to cope with the stress of the Covid-19 pandemic.


Our findings are echoed by other research which has found that people visiting and noticing nature in particular was important in supporting their wellbeing.

This is a really important point, as it helps us to understand that a connection with nature helps unlock the mental health benefits – and it also gives us essential clues on how to maximise these benefits for our wellbeing.

Nature has played a critical role in our mental health during the pandemic

45%

Nearly half of people in the UK told us that visiting green spaces, such as parks, helped them to cope throughout the pandemic.



Spending time in nature is good for us for lots of reasons.

Quality counts. Connecting with nature is critical.

'Fresh air and exercise' has long been recommended as a way for many to feel better, physically and mentally.

Now evidence shows us that the quality of our relationship with nature is part of the reason for its positive impact on our wellbeing.


Researchers use the term 'connectedness' to describe the ideal relationship.

'Connectedness' refers to the way we relate to nature and experience nature. A strong connection with nature means feeling a close relationship or an emotional attachment to our natural surroundings.

There are ways that we can develop our connectedness with nature. Activities that involve the senses can help to develop our connection with the natural world, as can activities where we feel emotions such as compassion, perceive beauty or find meaning in nature.

For instance, we might notice the beauty of nature by listening intently to birdsong or touching the bark of trees. Smelling flowers or feeling the soil between our fingers whilst planting bulbs in the garden are also highly effective ways to connect with nature using our senses.

We don't always have to be in nature to further our relationship with the natural world: writing a poem about our favourite nature spot or reflecting on preferred walks help us consciously notice, consider and pause to appreciate the good things in nature.



People with good nature connectedness tend to be happier

Research shows that people who are more connected with nature are usually happier in life and more likely to report feeling their lives are worthwhile.

Nature can generate a multitude of positive emotions, such as calmness, joy, creativity and can facilitate concentration. Nature connectedness is also associated with lower levels of poor mental health; in particular lower depression and anxiety levels. Perhaps not surprisingly, people with strong nature connectedness are also more likely to have pro-environmental behaviours such as recycling items or buying seasonal food.

This is likely to lead to further benefits, if these pro-environmental activities can lead to improvements in nature that we can then go on to enjoy. At a time of devastating environmental threats, developing a stronger mutually supportive relationship between people and the environment will be critical.



Green and serene

“High quality” natural spaces are better for us and our wellbeing.

We benefit from “high quality” nature spaces

Quality can mean higher biodiversity (a wide variety of plants and wildlife). Whether we are in rural or urban spaces, certain characteristics of nature are particularly important.

These include the amount of “green” in trees, plants, and grass, the variety of plants and wildlife, and ‘serene’ landscapes that feel calm and quiet.

Cleanliness, such as the absence of litter, in nature spaces is also a factor in how much our mental health benefits from spending time outside. Cleaner nature areas are linked to lower rates of depression.


Nature is everywhere, but high quality nature isn't available equally.

Whilst nature can be found anywhere, high-quality nature spaces which we know are most likely to help support good mental health are not available equally to everyone in the UK. This is a more complicated picture than just how far we live from a high-quality nature space.

Proximity is certainly a factor, with deprived communities least likely to live near a high quality nature space. Perhaps unsurprisingly, our poll found that people living in urban areas were less likely than rural residents to connect with nature as much as they wanted, and people without gardens less likely than those with gardens.

Younger adults in particular may face many barriers to connecting with nature. People living with a disability or health condition often face particular barriers to access, when natural spaces are not equipped with inclusion in mind or there is a lack of accessible routes.

For some groups, including many women, younger people, disabled people and people from ethnic minorities, nature spaces may feel inaccessible or less enjoyable because they are not safe – from risk of physical harm, sexual harassment, hate crime or discrimination. For many of these groups there is a double effect of this inequality.



Several groups described above not only get less of the wellbeing benefit of connecting with nature as a result of these access barriers, but they are precisely the groups within our population who are most at risk of mental health problems.

There are good examples of initiatives in nature spaces to reduce the inequality of access, and allow all groups to benefit from connecting with nature to support their wellbeing.

High quality urban parks, designed with accessibility in mind, can enable more people to enjoy and connect with nature. Other solutions include planting flowers and trees along our streets or even recreating natural habitats where new human developments such as a road have been built. These are known as "green corridors".

Research Conclusions

The key message of this research evidence is a need to shift our attention from focusing on getting people to visit natural and sometimes remote spaces, to focusing on how people can tune in and connect with 'everyday' nature close to home through simple activities. We can develop a new relationship with the natural world by noticing nature, and that doing so has been found to bring benefits in mental health.

Policy Recommendations

In Scotland there is a key opportunity arising from the Holyrood election this year, with the potential for the next Scottish Government to further develop policy and provide funding that supports connection with nature, building on the Scottish Biodiversity Strategy and the Climate Change (Emissions Reduction Targets) (Scotland) Act 2019. There is also a clear public appetite in Scotland for change, with three quarters (72%) of respondents to our poll in Scotland indicating that they think the Government should be aiming to encourage people to do more to connect with nature. crime or discrimination. For many of these groups there is a double effect of this inequality.



Here, we present eight policy recommendations to the Scottish Government which would support connection with nature for everyone:

- O1. We call on the Scottish Government to focus on connection with nature in the formation of all policies relating to nature and mental health. Connection with nature should be the measure of each policy's efficacy, rather than measuring the time spent in nature or the number of visits to nature.
- O2. A National **Green Spaces Strategy** should be introduced to guarantee safe and accessible green spaces for all to transform Scotland's relationship with the outdoors and improve health outcomes.
- O3. Local authorities should develop **local Green Spaces Strategies** in line with the national strategy, and in partnership with local communities.
- O4. Local authorities should **publish annual reports** on the levels of cleanliness, safety and accessibility of parks and beaches.
- O5. The Scottish Government should ensure that masterplans for **new housing in urban areas** include high quality greenspace and blue-green infrastructure.
- O6. The Scottish Government should rapidly **expand curriculum-led and adventure approaches** to outdoor learning to boost levels of wellbeing, reduce stress and improve concentration and attainment.
- O7. The Scottish Government should **invest in food growing and horticulture community groups** that help boost empowerment, reduce stress, promote healthy eating, and tackle social isolation.
- O8. The Scottish Government should **kick-start outdoor hospitality** by incentivising environmentally friendly outdoor hospitality space.

01

Introduction

Our relationship with nature – how much we notice, think about and appreciate our natural surroundings – can be a critical factor in supporting good mental health alongside other factors such as how much money we have or what kind of job we do.



Nature has played an important role in supporting many people's mental health during the coronavirus pandemic, and this is one of the reasons why it has been chosen as the Mental Health Awareness Week theme for 2021.

In this report, we present the latest evidence of how nature impacts positively on our mental health and why it is important to develop a good connection with nature and develop our connectedness.

We also include findings from the YouGov poll we have conducted on this theme specifically for Mental Health Awareness Week.¹



What do we mean by nature?

We commonly think of "Nature" as referring to wild plants, animals, ecosystems, landscapes and waterscapes, in contrast to built environments and places shaped by human activity.

Nature exists on a spectrum, from wildernesses with little evidence of human impact to small parks in highly urbanised areas, from a dandelion or an urban stream, to extensive woodlands (*Bratman et al, 2012*).

It is now widely accepted that green features which are partly the products of human activity, such as urban parks and back gardens, also represent nature (*McAllister et al, 2017*).

¹All figures All figures, unless otherwise stated, are from YouGov Plc. Total sample size was 1055 adults aged 18+ in Scotland. Fieldwork was undertaken between 6th - 8th April 2021. The survey was carried out online. The figures have been weighted and are representative of all adults in Scotland (aged 18+).

Key to how we define nature is our own personal experience - our perceptions of and/or interactions with any stimuli from the natural world, for example listening to birds singing from our window, growing herbs in our kitchen, looking at nature photos, sitting in the back garden, going to the local park, feeling the weather, and noticing the movements of the sun (*Bratman et al, 2019; Miles Richardson et al, 2015*).

As we will explore later, 'nature connectedness' describes the way we relate to, and experience, nature. It refers to the kind of relationship we develop with the natural world. When we have high levels of nature-connectedness we are often happier in life, feel our lives are more worthwhile and have lower levels of depression and anxiety (*Capaldi A. et al, 2014; Richardson et al, 2021*).

A new love of nature during lockdown?

69%

of Scottish adults surveyed in our YouGov poll, said that connecting with nature has been important in terms of managing their mental health during the pandemic.

30%

of Scottish adults had connected more with nature during the pandemic

20%

of Scottish adults had connected less with nature during the pandemic


At the Mental Health Foundation, we have been conducting our own research on the mental health impacts of the pandemic. We have learnt the important role that nature played in supporting many people's mental health at this time. In that study, people of all ages (except teenagers – more on this later) told us that visiting green spaces, such as parks was one of the top coping strategies and 45% of the UK adult population used this to cope with the stress of the pandemic and its restrictions.

Other studies have likewise found that different levels of lockdown restrictions have had negative consequences on people's mental health, but that contact with nature has helped people to cope (Soga *et al*, 2020). During the pandemic, many people turned to nature, visiting nature spaces more often and being more likely to notice the nature that is all around us.

In fact, the increase in noticing nature was much greater than the increase in time spent in nature. Between April and June 2020, fewer than half of adults reported they were spending more time outside, but three quarters reported they were noticing and engaging with everyday nature more (*Natural England, 2020*). And studies showed that these changes in the relationship with nature contributed to improvements in people's wellbeing; particularly in feelings of life being worthwhile (*M Richardson & Hamlin, 2021*).



At the Mental Health Foundation, we therefore believe that connecting with and developing a close relationship with nature can help to promote good mental health, and that nature can act as a protective factor for good mental health.

By green spaces we mean any nature area that is predominantly green in colour such as parks, woodland or forests. By blue spaces we mean any nature area that is predominantly blue in colour such as rivers, wetlands, beaches or canals.



02

Nature as a protective factor for good mental health





65%

of Scottish adults agreed. agreed that being close to nature improves their mood.

46%

said being close to nature helps them to cope with stress.

This is fully backed-up by research evidence, which consistently shows that nature has a beneficial impact on our mental health. The relationship we develop with nature is emerging as an important protective factor for our mental health.

Intuitively, most of us feel that spending time in nature is good for our wellbeing. Whilst inequalities and barriers mean that by no means everyone is currently able to connect with nature in the optimal way (see section 3 below).

Studies have found that wellbeing can be linked, in part, with how close we live to nature spaces and street trees or private gardens, in both urban and rural settings (*Jiricka-Pürerer et al., 2019; Kruize et al., 2020*).

Spending time in blue spaces and green spaces is linked to improved life satisfaction, reduced anxiety and increased happiness (McMahan & Estes, 2015). Contact with nature generates an increase in positive emotions and feelings of vitality, and a decrease in negative emotions; it also provides relief of from mental tiredness, and an improvement in our attention span (*Lackey et al., 2019*).

Furthermore, research in different contexts demonstrates the positive effects of being exposed to nature. In the workplace, for example, people with 'high exposure' to nature (taking more frequent breaks to spend time outdoors in green spaces) reported significantly higher work engagement compared to the participants in the same study who described themselves as having a low 'exposure to nature' profile (those who spent more time in the office or who took indoor breaks).

'Connectedness' is our individual way to feel emotionally connected to the natural world with nature. Connectedness goes beyond simply having contact with nature; the concept of connectedness encompasses how we feel, think and experience our relationship with the natural environment.

In general, when we are more connected with nature we tend to be happier and are more likely to be flourishing and functioning well psychologically.

Individuals who are more connected with nature may also seek out more opportunities to spend time with nature, and therefore experience its psychological benefits, and have a positive disposition towards the environment (*Martin et al., 2020*). Importantly, with the crises of climate warming and biodiversity loss, which will bring further challenges for mental health, people who are more connected with nature are also more likely to take part in pro-environmental behaviours (*Mackay & Schmitt, 2019*) and pro-nature conservation actions (*Miles Richardson et al., 2020*).



Nature connectedness: a key contributor to good mental health



Nature connectedness: For a new relationship with nature

By Miles Richardson

Our mental wellbeing has been challenged during the coronavirus pandemic and many people have found a friend in nature. The natural world is also struggling.

The warming climate and loss of wildlife show our relationship with nature is failing. The good news is that actively developing a new relationship with nature is good for both human and nature's wellbeing.

Understanding the human-nature relationship is complex. However, "nature-connectedness" has provided some clarity and new evidence of the benefits a close relationship with nature brings. Nature-connectedness provides an internationally accepted way of measuring how close a person's relationship with nature is. It can form the basis of scientific studies. It can be improved.



Miles Richardson,
Professor of Human Factors and Nature
Connectedness, Derby University


Those scientific studies have increased rapidly in recent years and have established that:

- People's nature connectedness, rather than visits to nature, is key for feeling that life is worthwhile – nearly four times larger than the increase associated with socio-economic status.
- Nature connectedness and simple engagement with nature explains pro-environmental household behaviours and pro-nature conservation behaviours.



Life feels better when people have a strong connection with nature, so how do we improve it?

Improving people's relationship with nature comes through simple, yet meaningful engagement with nature. For example, we found that simply tuning in and noticing the good things in urban nature led to significant and sustained improvements in people's mental health. More widely, our research has identified five distinct types of activity that activate people's connection with nature. The "pathways to nature connectedness" provide a new approach to improving human-nature relations.



The 5 pathways to a new relationship with nature are:

01 Senses

Noticing and actively engaging with nature through the senses, e.g. listening to birdsong, smelling wild flowers, or watching the breeze in the trees.

02 Emotion

Experiencing the joy and calm nature can bring, e.g. talking about, and reflecting on your feelings about nature.

03 Beauty

Simply taking time to appreciate nature's beauty, e.g. exploring the beauty of nature through art, music or in words.


04 Meaning

Exploring and celebrating how nature brings meaning to life, e.g. exploring how nature appears in songs and stories, poems and art, or by celebrating the signs and cycles of nature.

05 Compassion

Taking actions that are good for nature, e.g. creating homes for nature, and making ethical product choices.

The pathways provide a flexible design framework to help bring about a closer relationship with nature. These can be simple changes in the focus of outdoor activities through to the design of places to improve the human-nature relationship on a larger scale. All because a new relationship with nature is needed for a worthwhile and sustainable life – a good life.



Green, serene and biodiverse: we benefit from 'high quality' nature spaces

Some evidence suggests that variety within nature (or biodiversity) is an important factor in maximising its mental health benefits. Biodiversity through bird-species richness, followed by plant-species richness, habitat diversity and butterfly richness are all related to improved wellbeing (Aerts *et al.*, 2018), increased positive affect (mood) and lower levels of anxiety (Wolf *et al.*, 2017).

Other data tell us that a 'serene' landscape (defined as a place of calm or silence; often a forest with different varieties of trees, or near a water course) has a positive impact on our mental health (M. A. van den Bosch *et al.*, 2015). Large areas covered by vegetation and bird varieties are linked to lower levels of depression, anxiety, and stress (Cox *et al.*, 2017). Urban nature can also improve mental wellbeing, for example flowering plants, water, urban wildlife and trees (McEwan *et al.*, 2020). However, as we will see below, it is important that both urban and rural green and blue spaces are safe and accessible for everyone to enjoy.

More active engagement brings greater benefits

Benefits may be gained from intentionally interacting with nature (e.g. through visiting neighbourhood green spaces or spending time in a garden), or occasionally from incidental contact with nature while we carry out daily activities (e.g. walking to the shops, driving to work), or indirectly while not actually present in nature (e.g., viewing it through a window; watching a documentary) (Keniger *et al.*, 2013).

However, more active engagement tends to bring greater benefits (Miles Richardson *et al.*, 2021).

In our poll, we asked people how they benefitted from different activities in nature:

55%

of Scottish adults said that spending time by water has a positive impact on their mental health.

53%

felt the benefits of seeing nature as they go about their daily life

57%

benefited from spending time in the countryside.



Many activities have been designed to improve our connectedness with nature.

These can have a positive impact on our wellbeing and range from immersive residential wilderness camps, to increasing the amount of nature in front gardens (Chalmin-Pui *et al.*, 2021). Some of these activities are intensive but less regular (e.g. a school trip), and others might be more long-term (for example, doing gardening every weekend).

To maintain wellbeing day to day it is important to develop activities in which we can participate close to home. For example, The Wildlife Trust's 30 Days Wild campaign focuses on simple activities that help us form a close relationship with everyday nature; it has brought about improvements in mental wellbeing that have been sustained for periods of two months (Miles Richardson, Cormack, *et al.*, 2016).

49%

of Scottish adults felt the benefits of spending time in their garden

36%

of Scottish adults agreed that spending time in the park is good for their mental health

23%


of Scottish adults even agreed that watching or listening to nature documentaries on TV or radio has a positive impact on their mental health



How nature helps our mental health

Some of the leading experts in nature research have presented a model of how nature balances and regulate our emotions (*Miles Richardson, McEwan, et al., 2016*).

According to this model, there are three areas of emotion which can be affected by our experiences with nature. These three dimensions are: threat, drive and contentment. Each dimension is linked to different feelings and motivations. 'Threat' is motivated by avoidance and leads to the emotion of anxiety. 'Drive' is motivated by pursuit and leads to joy. 'Contentment' is motivated by rest and brings calm. These emotions each release specific hormones in the body. This is represented in the diagram below.





In order to experience good mental health, we need a balance between these three dimensions of threat, drive and contentment. For example, when our threat response is overactive (perhaps because we feel constantly driven at work) our positive emotions are reduced, and we can become anxious or depressed.

Exposure to nature can generate positive emotions and balance our moods, resulting in better resilience (*M. Richardson, K. McEwan, Maratos, 2016*).



In our poll, we asked people how they benefitted from different activities in nature:

65%

of people agreed that they experience positive emotions from being in nature (e.g. calm, joy, excitement or wonder).

44%

of people said that being close to nature makes them less worried or anxious.

It is clear that the natural atmosphere of forests and nature in general is beneficial to human health.

The healing power of trees (and plants)

Psychologists refer to the Stress Reduction Theory (SRT) developed by *Ulrich et al. (1991)* to explain our need to experience the natural elements. This theory states that when we observe natural scenery, such as forests or rivers, we experience positive feelings and emotions that have a restorative calming effect.

Studies of Japanese *shinrin-yoku* (forest bathing) have compared how the body reacts to being immersed in nature with being in an urban environment. They confirm the calming effects of being in nature, with benefits for both physical and mental health (*Rajoo et al 2020*).

Some researchers have looked at the general positive effects of nature and consider that the healing powers of forests is partly due to phytoncides, a substance emitted by plants, and that even indoor exposure to phytoncides can improve health and immune function (*Song et al. 2016*).

Quantity versus quality: our connectedness with nature is critical

It makes sense that if spending time in nature is good for us, then the more time we spend outside in nature the bigger the benefit. On this theme, recent research has looked at what the idea of a weekly 'dose' of time in nature should be. Two hours a week has been found to be a dosage that significantly boosts health and wellbeing (*White et al., 2019*).

Concerningly, our poll found that 'in normal times' before the pandemic, 16% of people spent an average of an hour or less around nature each week – or no time at all. These proportions were particularly high for the youngest adults (21% and 22% of 18-24 and 25-34 year-olds respectively), and for people without access to gardens (27%).


Interestingly, however, when time and connectedness are measured together, time can emerge as less important (*Martin et al., 2020; Miles Richardson et al., 2020*). This fits with the understanding that it is the quality of our relationship with nature that contributes to its positive impact on our wellbeing (*Dobson et al., 2020*). This suggests we should focus on finding effective ways to engage with nature, as we know that nature-connectedness leads to a virtuous circle of spending more time in nature.

16%


of Scottish people spent an average of an hour or less around nature each week – or no time at all.

03

Universal access to nature can reduce mental health inequalities



Everyone has the capacity to connect with nature (*Miles Richardson et al., 2019*), which is why access to nature is important for all. However, mental health benefits from connecting with nature may vary by socioeconomic status, residential location, occupation, disability, culture, gender, and age.



In our poll of Scottish adults:

11%

of respondents to our poll in Scotland found it fairly or very difficult to access nature whenever they wanted to

45%

of people said that they were not connecting (i.e. feeling a close relationship and emotional engagement) with nature often enough to help their mental health

36%

wanted to do so more often

Green spaces are currently not equally accessible, safe and welcoming to all

Well-designed or adapted green spaces have the potential to be a free, universal resource that can benefit people from all backgrounds. However, natural spaces are currently not equally accessible to all, and may be particularly inaccessible to certain groups because of other social, economic and health inequalities. Some places are inaccessible due to physical inaccessibility to people who are physically disabled or who have sensory impairments, geographically inaccessible for people who do not own cars, or inaccessible due to safety concerns.

A study found that urban parks are less accessible to some groups including people in deprived communities, women, and LGBTQI+ people. The reasons presented were all different, such as distance to parks, lack of a pleasant walking experience, and an insufficient number of parks in their neighbourhood.

Also important are the lack of cultural and/or shared activities, safety concerns, and insufficient leisure time available (*Wang et al., 2015*). Income is also related to different use of green and blue spaces for physical activity. This is due mainly to people with less income having less access to these spaces.

However, not all studies see this relationship. It appears that levels of physical activity are also influenced by neighbourhood walkability and natural environment. Other relevant factors are the sense of community, number of recreational facilities and motivation levels (*Spencer et al., 2020*).



Many barriers prevent people from spending time in nature

In our poll, we asked people which factors (in normal times before the pandemic) limited their ability to be close to nature, or to enjoy nature in the way they would like.

Limited time or lack of company

In our poll of Scottish adults, we asked people which factors (in normal times before the pandemic) limited their ability to be close to nature, or to enjoy nature in the way they would like. The most frequently noted barrier was spending too much time at work or studying – with 22% of adults in Scotland reporting this.

Not always feeling safe in nature

Whilst 'not feeling safe' being in nature at the times of day/places where they could do so was mentioned by only 4% of Scottish adults as a factor limiting their ability to be close to nature before the pandemic, when we asked specifically which fears have prevented people from enjoying nature 'in the way they would like', 14% of people said that not feeling physically safe or safe from harm was a problem.

Women, young people, disabled people and Black, Asian and minority ethnic people feel less safe in nature

When we asked about particular fears around safety and harassment, there was a pronounced gender gap. Not feeling physically safe/safe from harm had hindered 26% of UK women from enjoying nature, compared to 9% of UK men. In particular, fear of sexual harassment had affected 13% of women surveyed compared to 1% of men surveyed in terms of their ability to enjoy nature, and actual experiences of sexual harassment 12% of women compared to 1% of men.

18% of Scottish adults with long term health conditions or disabilities also said that they had been prevented from enjoying nature due to not feeling physically safe/safe from harm, and this group were also notably more likely to report experiences of violence, and to fear verbal harassment, than the population overall.

23%

of respondents from Black, Asian and minority ethnic backgrounds said that race discrimination limited their ability to enjoy nature as they wished.



23%

of women aged 18-24 in the survey reported that their enjoyment of nature had been impacted by actual experiences of sexual harassment,

These striking figures point to the need to ensure that natural environments are safe for everyone, including women, younger people, people from ethnic minorities and people with disabilities or long-term health conditions.

27%

of women aged 25-34 in the survey had been impacted.

For women aged 35-44 this fell to 12%

There are more barriers for disabled people

Our poll found that 32% of Scottish adults with long-term health conditions (LTCs) and disabilities which limit their daily activities 'a lot' cited being unable to physically access nature because of their health (or that of a family member) as a factor which limited their ability to be close to nature.



Young people tend to lose a connection with nature, but it is not inevitable

Teenagers and young adults appear to be less connected with nature, which in nature research has been coined the 'teenage dip'. Connection with nature starts to dip as early as 10 years old and may not recover until people are in their early 30s (Miles Richardson et al., 2019).

In our poll of adults in Scotland, younger age groups were more likely to say that they didn't want to or did not enjoy spending time in nature, with this feeling at its highest among adults in the 18-24 age group (12% compared to 4% of the overall adult population, and just 2% of over-55s).

However, the majority of other factors we explored as potential limitations on people's ability to be close to nature also tended to affect more people in the younger age categories. This included some of the most frequently mentioned barriers overall listed to the right.



Some factors related to the availability of natural spaces were also more pronounced among younger people than the population as a whole. For example, the poor quality of the parks and natural spaces nearby was cited as a limitation by 13% of 18-34 year olds, compared to 6% of the population as a whole and 2% of over-55s.

43%

of 18-24 years olds found time spent working or studying a barrier

18%

of 18-24 years olds found a lack of people to spend time in nature with a factor

4%

of 18-24 years olds factored not caring responsibilities



It has been suggested that the greening of areas in and around schools in disadvantaged areas, particularly with trees, could promote optimal socio-emotional and behavioural functioning for children from homes with both low and high levels of exposure to nature (*Scott et al., 2018*).

Initiatives like this are much needed, as pupils/students report being less connected with nature than people in employment or retirement (*Holli-Anne Passmore, Leanne Martin, Miles Richardson, Matthew White, Anne Hunt, 2020*).

Access to nature beyond an urban setting can also benefit all communities. Modest-scale physical changes to woodlands to improve their attractiveness for use, consisting largely of footpath surfacing and drainage, improving entrances and clearing rubbish; and community engagement events to attract the local community to use the woods, including 'family fun days', photography and environmental art workshops, and activities for school children, can all help deprived communities to connect with nature and increase social cohesion (*Thompson et al., 2019*).

28%

of Scottish adults with no garden said that before the pandemic, they were able to connect as much as they wanted with nature.

Let's improve access to urban nature

We should take action to further improve the accessibility of urban nature, potentially through structured activities (O'Brien *et al.*, 2014). We can improve access by applying universal design principles to make parks and playgrounds accessible and inclusive spaces, able to be used by people with all types of people with all types of disabilities (Lynch *et al.*, 2018).

50% of Scottish adults with access to a private and/or communal garden said that before the pandemic, they were able to connect as much as they wanted with nature, compared to 28% of Scottish adults who had access to neither.

Some research suggests that public open space and access to a private garden may also be important to the mental health of children from poorer households (Alderton *et al.*, 2019). A study in Sheffield suggested that smaller gardens were linked to higher rates of depression. This effect may have been exacerbated during lockdowns, with limited opportunities for people to access nature outside of private gardens. However, any public greenspace accessibility was also important for good mental health (Mears *et al.*, 2020). Having good access to green/recreational areas can reduce inequalities in mental wellbeing between people of different socioeconomic statuses (Mitchell *et al.*, 2015). Urban nature can also play a positive role in reducing stress on the wellbeing of migrant families (Hordyk *et al.*, 2015).

Universal design is the design and composition of an environment so that it may be accessed, understood and used to the greatest possible extent, in the most independent and natural manner possible and in the wildest



04 Nature is not just found in rural areas

When we think about nature, we might think about forests, wilderness spaces and national parks in the great outdoors, but nature can be all around us in the cities and towns we live in.

Moreover, nature is not just found in designated green spaces such as parks, but throughout our streets, in our gardens and on our balconies and even the most surprising and ingenious places - bus stops with living roofs, roundabouts planted with flowers or wildflowers growing on railway sidings. There are also ways to incorporate nature into people's daily lives in settings such as schools and care facilities.


Connectedness with nature in rural living is not a given

Despite rural nature being what most of us think about when we hear the word “nature”, there is surprisingly little research on how people benefit from nature in rural settings. This is perhaps because we assume that people who live in rural areas automatically benefit from the abundance of nature in their environment.

This lack of research might also stem from an assumption that all people in the countryside connect with nature as a matter of course, which may not be the case.

In our Scotland poll, 61% of rural residents said that before the pandemic, they were able to connect as much as they wanted with nature – though this was the case for only 43% of urban residents.

It may also be due to the perceived lack of need, as - in high income countries - rural communities tend to have higher life satisfaction (*Easterlin et al., 2011*) and lower rates of mental health problems (*Peen et al., 2010*) than urban communities.



70%

of the world's population is anticipated by 2050 to live in urban areas.

It is notable that happiness has been found to rise from its lowest levels in the centres of large cities to its highest levels on the edges of small towns (*Berry & Okulicz-Kozaryn, 2011*), rather than in the heart of the countryside. Indeed, some rural occupations, including farming, report poorer mental health than the general population, with high levels of loneliness and isolation (*Davies et al., 2019*). Farmers also experience particularly high suicide rates (*Office of National Statistics, 2018*).

There are specific mental health challenges that people living in rural communities face and a better understanding of the role of nature as a protective factor would be a highly beneficial addition to the evidence in this area. (*Davies et al., 2019*). The benefits for mental health of nature-connectedness and noticing nature are no less relevant for people in rural areas, and these benefits should also be actively promoted within these communities.

High quality, abundant urban nature can positively affect our mental health


By 2050, it is anticipated that 70% of the world's population will live in urban areas (*Ghosh, 2019*). In many cases, urbanisation of land has a negative effect on biodiversity. Given that there is strong evidence for a positive link between nature and mental health, particularly where there is strong biodiversity, we must consider how nature can be better included in urban environments (*M. van den Bosch & Ode Sang, 2017*).

For urban residents who can't access natural environments easily or frequently, urban nature that is abundant and biodiverse has the potential to benefit mental health. However, this is not as effective in restoring people's wellbeing as rural nature (*Menardo et al., 2019*).

Urban nature can take many forms, both public and private, from woodlands, parks, lakes and ponds, to commons and village greens, gardens, individual trees and planted flowers on the verges of our roads. As we have seen, noticing everyday urban nature can make a significant contribution to our mental wellbeing.

As discussed previously, biodiversity is an important feature of rural nature that benefits mental health. In studies in the UK and Australia, a greater percentage of vegetation in the neighbourhood (e.g. street trees, and gardens) and an abundance of birds were linked to many positive effects. These were: lower levels of depression, anxiety, and stress; and better personal wellbeing and neighbourhood wellbeing (a measure of a person's satisfaction with their neighbourhood) (Cox et al., 2017; Luck et al., 2011).

It should be noted that variables such as a person's age and level of social and physical activity largely explained the relationship in one of these studies, suggesting there is not a simple relationship between urban biodiversity and wellbeing.




Another study found higher vitality and lower anxiety were reported by people in more richly biodiverse urban environments (*Wolf et al., 2017*).

Furthermore, perception of higher biodiversity and 'naturalness' of urban green spaces (i.e., such as, being untouched by humans) has been linked to more positive nature experiences (*Cameron et al., 2020; Hedblom et al., 2017*).

Given the importance of biodiversity in urban settings, a balance needs to be struck between maintained and wild/natural green spaces to achieve maximum positive benefit.

Another element that needs to be considered in this balance is how safe people feel in green spaces – including women, younger people, disabled people, and people who experience racism (see overleaf).




Urban nature provides spaces for social connections

The opportunity urban nature provides for people to form and develop social connections is highlighted by many researchers (*Cheesbrough et al., 2019; Hordyk et al., 2015; O'Brien et al., 2014; Rugel et al., 2019*).

Natural spaces provide somewhere to talk and connect with others, free from other distractions, while appreciating the surroundings (*Cheesbrough et al., 2019*). Beautiful, calm and serene nature is also linked to pro-social behaviours, such as volunteering time to help others and donating more money to charity (*Zhang et al., 2014*).

The importance of nature in urban environments has been articulated by a small study where young people from Black, Asian, and minority ethnic groups were interviewed (aged 17-27 years old). This study found that trees, water, open spaces and views in their urban environment offered benefits connected to mental health. Benefits included a stronger sense of self, feelings of “escape” and of connection with and care for the wider human and non-human worlds (*Birch et al., 2020*).

Similarly, young people from indigenous groups (aged 15-25 years old) in Canada found urban nature to be calming and to provide a sense of hope, helping youth to cope with stress, anger, fear, and difficult situations (*Hatala et al., 2020*).



25%

of young adults aged 18-24 said that they had been prevented from enjoying nature in the way they would like to because of not feeling physically safe or safe from harm.



However, these benefits can be reduced where the features of nature are poorer. Uncared for and unsafe-feeling 'natural', green and blue spaces can reduce young people's likelihood of visiting what could otherwise be a helpful resource (*Birch et al., 2020*). In our poll, 24% of young adults in Scotland aged 18-24 and 26% of those aged 25-34 said that they had been prevented from enjoying nature in the way they would like to because of not feeling physically safe or safe from harm.

Greater public green space cleanliness has been linked to lower rates of depression, suggesting that cleanliness may therefore be more important than green space size and overall quality. Examples of good quality nature are: the presence of signage; provision of facilities such as bins, seats and toilets; maintenance of paths; safety; planting and plant management (*Mears et al., 2020*).

The upcoming sections explore how nature can be utilised in settings used by significant parts of the population such as children and older people, or those at higher risk of mental health problems.


Benefits of nature to children

We all connect with nature in different ways and we have our individual preferences.

Although we might have a natural tendency to connect and identify with the natural world, this may be shaped by early childhood experiences (*Piccininni et al., 2018*). Research tells us that the parents' or guardians' connection with nature can influence and impact their child's future connection.

This is regardless of the child's age, level of neighbourhood deprivation, and access to green space (*Holli-Anne Passmore, Leanne Martin, Miles Richardson, Matthew White, Anne Hunt, 2020*).





Promoting young people's connection with nature is important, as adolescents (aged 11-15 years old) who perceive connection with nature as important have been found to have better psychological wellbeing than adolescents who do not (*Capaldi A. et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2020*).

Education outside the classroom in nature may also have benefits for child wellbeing, although the evidence is slightly contradictory. In one study with preschool children in outdoor classrooms, teachers reported evidence of improved wellbeing, but the children did not report similar improvements in happiness (Largo-Wight et al., 2018). Among older children, however, education outside the classroom was found to promote social wellbeing, particularly for pupils of low socioeconomic status. This was potentially most beneficial when concentrated into fewer, longer sessions (Bølling et al., 2019). **This shows the importance of carefully designed programmes that develop a close relationship with nature, rather than simply providing an outdoor environment or facts and figures about nature.**

Forest schooling has been shown to benefit children's wellbeing by enabling them to let off steam, shout and run. It also provides opportunities for calm reflection, confiding in peers and interacting with family members (Thomas & Thompson, 2005). Forest schooling has also been shown to increase the self-confidence of children by enabling them to initiate their own ideas of play, by trying out new activities, taking leadership roles in woodland play with their peers, and demonstrating a higher level of independence (*O'Brien & Murray, 2006*).

Educational settings may provide an opportunity to increase children and young people's connection with nature, and potentially reduce mental health inequalities. An induction programme for children transitioning to secondary school that included bespoke outdoor adventure activities tailored to the school's educational objectives

or aspects of self-determination, such as a group-planned nature-based journey, achieved the most improvement in children's psychological wellbeing and self-determination, when compared to a generic outdoor adventure residential and a non-outdoor adventure school-based induction programme (Slee & Allan, 2019).

Nature in health and social care settings is being promoted and more research is needed as to its benefits for mental health

Green social prescribing is being used increasingly by the NHS to improve physical and mental health and to address inequality of access to green space. Green prescribing is where individuals are linked to nature-based interventions and activities, such as local walking for health schemes, community gardening and food-growing projects.



Green care interventions such as social and therapeutic horticulture, care farming and environmental conservation are linked to reductions in depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms. People involved in these types of green care interventions have a greatly increased level of social contact and inclusion, as well as a sense of belonging and personal achievement all of which are good for mental health (Bragg, R., Atkins, 2016).

The NHS is committed to increasing access to green space on or near to NHS land through the NHS Forest initiative to improve the health and wellbeing of patients, staff and communities. It aims to encourage greater social cohesion between NHS sites and the local communities around them.


Projects bring together professionals and volunteers to use woodland for art, growing food, reflection and exercise, and to encourage biodiversity.

The NHS Forest initiative also models innovative ideas to encourage the use of gardens and other green space for therapeutic purposes. The NHS Forest is in its early stages therefore results are not yet available regarding its effect on mental health.

Associations have been found between greenery in residential care settings and some aspect of residents' mental wellbeing (for example, quality of life and depression). Garden use showed the strongest associations, but there were also benefits from the mere presence of a garden and indoor/outdoor plants (*Carver et al., 2020*). Research finds that some groups of patients in healthcare settings who benefited from a view of nature through a window, reported improvements in their physical and mental health during their residential stay (*Raanaas et al., 2012*). This is particularly relevant considering that nearly 500,000 people live in care homes (*Competition & Markets Authority, 2017*).

05

Implications & Conclusions



There is enough evidence to inform policy-makers and practitioners of the longer-term benefits of nature and human interconnectedness with nature and to ensure that nature is at the heart of how we live and work.

The research evidence tells us we can develop a new relationship with the natural world by noticing nature, and that doing so has been found to bring improvements in mental health.

We need a society that facilitates regular and sustained engagement with nature within more biodiverse spaces to maintain population wellbeing and resilience. This has wider implications for the design of our places. From the need for networks of green corridors to help reverse the decline in biodiversity, to considering the cultural aspects of green cities, such as moving beyond exposure to new relationships with nature. For example, urban nature festivals and symbolic celebrations of nature across the seasons (Richardson 2016).

Urban parks in town and cities could be a way to bring nature to all residents, provided they can be made safe and accessible. By applying universal design principles and ensuring people's safety, parks and amenities within them can be accessed and enjoyed by everyone. Another way to bring nature into people's daily lives is to ensure that streets in our cities, towns and neighbourhoods have trees and flowers alongside (or at least visible from) walkways and routes to public transport and shopping areas.





Long-term planning for urban “greenways” connecting parks, the public, routes of transit, schools, and shops, with prompts to notice this nature, would improve the lives of all residents. There is also a need to consider how to counter the urban noise that can block access to the sounds of nature, such as birdsong.

It is important to note that current evidence is based on a lot of


cross-sectional and self-reported studies, which means that people tell us how they feel about nature at different points in time. Despite the increasing research in this area, a need remains for on-going investment in experimental studies over time on the relationship between nature, neuroscience and the impact on mental health and wellbeing to strengthen the existing evidence base cited in this report.



Overall, the most important implication of this research evidence is a need to shift our focus from getting people to visit natural and sometimes remote spaces to giving more attention to the nature around them. This includes focusing on how people can tune in and connect with “everyday” nature close to home through simple activities. Not only does this closer relationship with nature improve mental wellbeing, it helps nature too.

06


Policy Recommendations for Scotland



Our collective experience of the coronavirus pandemic has spurred something of a renaissance in our relationship with nature and the outdoors. It will be important to actively maintain this return to nature. Unfortunately, the pandemic has also laid bare the systemic inequalities in our ability to access and enjoy nature, adding urgency to calls for change.

In November 2021, the UK is hosting the UN Climate Change Conference (COP26), as well as the G7 Summit in July, which will have a strong environmental component. The Environment Bill moving through the UK Parliament presents a vital opportunity to put into legislation world-leading environmental commitments which enhance the credibility of the UK's global advocacy on this topic. It is also an opportunity to acknowledge the intrinsic link between nature's wellbeing and our own, and to put better mental wellbeing for all at the heart of our environmental policies.

In Scotland there is a key opportunity arising from the Holyrood election this year, with the potential for the next Scottish Government to further develop policy and provide funding that supports connection with nature, building on the Scottish Biodiversity Strategy and the Climate Change (Emissions Reduction Targets) (Scotland) Act 2019.



There is also a clear public appetite in Scotland for change, with three quarters (72%) of respondents to our poll in Scotland indicating that they think the Government should be aiming to encourage people to do more to connect with nature.

This policy section builds on the evidence set out in our research to propose some priority policy areas. We first make the case for prioritising connection with nature as the main goal for our nature and mental health policies.

Our research report demonstrates that meaningful connection with nature is more important than time spent in nature or the number of visits to natural spaces. We then examine the different ways that Government policy can facilitate greater connection with nature, starting with the need for a **National Green Spaces Strategy**.

The remaining recommendations suggest some key elements of this strategy.

Action: Facilitating connection with nature

01. We call on the Scottish Government to focus on connection with nature in the formation of all policies relating to nature and mental health. Connection with nature should be the measure of each policy's efficacy, rather than measuring the time spent in nature or the number of visits to nature.

Our research report demonstrates that meaningful connection with nature – 'nature connectedness' - is more important than time spent in nature or the number of visits to natural spaces.

The clear message from our review of the research is that connection with nature is the most important predictor of wellbeing. It is not enough to access nature, we must mindfully notice our surroundings and experience nature in a deeper, more meaningful way. It is also the case that building knowledge about nature through facts and figures does not inherently lead to greater noticing of nature (*Miles Richardson, et al. 2020*).

This has ramifications for policies such as "green social prescribing", which aims to improve mental health outcomes by prescribing activities that take place in nature. Our research suggests that social prescribing must incorporate strategies to notice and connect with nature.

More widely, the Scottish Government needs to build the concept of connection into all policies relating to nature and mental health; it should be the core principle which drives such policies.

The Scottish Government should particularly examine the barriers to connecting with nature in existing green and blue (visible surface water) spaces and in local areas which might relate to inequalities, some of which will be addressed in later sections.

Parks and green spaces that are poorly maintained, or have litter problems, with limited biodiversity, and where tranquil engagement is hampered by traffic noise, all present barriers to noticing and connecting with nature. These are all barriers that are more likely to be the reality in deprived areas, particularly in inner-city, urban environments.

The risk of taking a prescriptive, dosage-based, approach to nature, where time and visits are prioritised, is that these barriers will not be routinely addressed, and people's time spent in nature will not necessarily translate into the wellbeing benefits envisaged in the creation of these policies.

Action: Develop national and local Green Spaces Strategies

02. A Green Spaces Strategy should be introduced to guarantee safe and accessible green and blue spaces for all to transform Scotland's relationship with the outdoors and improve health outcomes.


The Scottish Government has a strong role to play in realising the ambition that everyone can benefit from a connection with nature, given its devolved responsibilities for the environment and public spaces. As previously highlighted, there is a clear public appetite for the Government aiming to encourage people to do more to connect with nature.

A national strategy should include a statutory duty on local authorities to develop their own community-based approaches to ensuring parity of access to safe green spaces for all.

There is much we can learn from our Nordic neighbours who have fostered a culture of 'outdoor living' where regular time spent in nature is valued and cherished by all sectors of society. From encouraging employers to increase the flexibility of the working day and incentivising staff to spend time outside during their working hours, to ensuring outdoor learning opportunities for children are common practice, people from all walks of life have benefited.

As this report has shown, many people formed a stronger connection with nature during the COVID-19 pandemic. As the nation continues to exit lockdown restrictions, it is vital that an effective Green Spaces Strategy is developed to ensure that our people's strengthening connection with nature continues to improve.

Considering the evidence from our survey of inequalities in some social groups' ability to access nature, it is important that the Scottish Government's Green Spaces Strategy adopts an equalities lens. The strategy should have a focus on improving the accessibility and safety of green and blue space for groups most at-risk of social and economic inequalities.



Action: Develop national and local Green Spaces Strategies

03. Local Green Spaces Strategies should be developed in line with the national strategy, and in partnership with local communities.

All 32 local authorities across Scotland should develop local green spaces strategies that ensure local people can avail of accessible, safe green and blue public spaces.

Local green spaces strategies are already in place in some local authorities across Scotland. This is welcome. However, a 'postcode lottery' remains in people's ability to access nature, with variation in access depending on geographical location. Only 2% of people living in the Highlands and Islands find it difficult to access nature whenever they want to, compared to 24% of people living in the Lothian region.

Local authority investment in local green and blue spaces is also particularly important for those who do not have access to private or communal garden space.

Each local authority should involve local people in decision-making to identify how best to better connect members of the community with nature. As issues of safety and accessibility of green spaces varies according to age, gender, ethnicity, disability and potentially other protected characteristics, it is important that local authorities engage with diverse community groups and people of all ages in the design of green and blue spaces.

There is much that local authorities are able to do to develop local green spaces strategies. Possible components of such a strategy include planting more trees, improving local transport infrastructure, and increasing the amount of green space in local areas. Each of these proposals commands a majority of support in our survey.

Action: Improve access to nature

04. Local authorities should introduce reporting requirements on the levels of cleanliness, safety and accessibility of parks and beaches.

Our research has shown that lack of safety and accessibility can be a deterrent for some people's connection with nature in public, green spaces. 95% of respondents in our survey supported the policy proposal that local councils should maintain existing outdoor areas to ensure they are clean and safe.

A substantial number of people living with long-term conditions (LTCs) are unable to access public green spaces. Accessibility covers a number of issues including accessibility to suitable public transport infrastructure as well as the quality of paths and walkways both within public green spaces and their surrounding area.

We have called for local authorities to work with local community groups and police services to ensure that the green spaces for which they are responsible are safe and can be enjoyed by everyone, free from fear of harassment, sexual harassment, violence, and discrimination.

One support for this effort would be to have publicly available information on levels of cleanliness, safety, and accessibility to inform local residents about the quality of their local green spaces. Local authorities are best placed to monitor the quality of their local green spaces and to make this information available to the public. Members of the public should also be given the means to report any issues that arise in their local community with regards to quality.



Action: Using the planning system and urban design to improve the visibility and availability of nature in every local area

05. The Scottish Government should ensure that masterplans for new housing in urban areas include high quality greenspace and blue-green infrastructure.

Our survey highlights that some social groups do not have immediate access to garden space either through a private or communal garden. It is important that design of public spaces facilitates connection with nature. Two thirds (66%) of respondents to our poll in Scotland strongly supported requiring new housing and business developments to include trees, plants and green spaces in their designs, and a further 28% of respondents tended to support this proposal. We therefore call on the Scottish Government to ensure that government agencies at all levels work with property development agencies to ensure all new housing development sites include public green and blue spaces. New housing developments should also be equipped with benches, picnic tables and playparks for children. This encourages socialisation amongst new neighbours who otherwise may not form new and lasting relationships.

Local authorities, planners, and urban designers can also help by increasing the visibility and salience of incidental nature in local environments. This includes those planning hospital buildings and residential care settings.

Our poll found that 85% of people supported the policy of hospitals providing care users with plenty of opportunities to be around and notice nature on a daily basis (44% of respondents strongly supported this), and 93% of people supported the policy of residential and care facilities providing residents with plenty of opportunities to be around and notice nature where they live (with 60% of respondents strongly supporting this). Planners should look for natural places of rest or pause, such as at bus stops or in places where queues regularly form and seek to build up the nature visible in these places.

Local authorities also have a convening role in bringing together public health experts, planners, local nature partnerships, and other partners to ensure that local planning and policy-making all works towards the common goal of building up the natural environment, urban or otherwise, to support people's connection with nature. There is a significant opportunity for local authorities to facilitate and enable community-led initiatives in this area.

Action: Build a life-long relationship with nature


06. **The Scottish Government should rapidly expand curriculum-led and adventure approaches to outdoor learning to boost levels of wellbeing, reduce stress and improve concentration and attainment.**

Childhood and adolescence are formative periods of life that can define a person's future connection with nature and therefore their potential to seek it out and derive wellbeing benefit from time spent in nature. Policies that build a child's sustainable, long-term connection with nature have the potential to deliver iterative benefits that accrue across a person's life.

However, as discussed earlier, research shows a pronounced dip in a young person's relationship with nature around the ages of 10-12 which does not recover until their 30s. Secondary schools, therefore, should be a priority for targeted action. As reported above, in our own poll of Scottish adults, younger age groups were more likely to say that they did not want to or did not enjoy spending time in nature, with this feeling at its highest among adults in the lowest age category – 18-24-year-olds.

Our research shows that outdoor education can benefit children's mental wellbeing, with education outside the classroom for older children found to promote social wellbeing, particularly for pupils of low socioeconomic status.

The Dasgupta review of The Economics of biodiversity argues compellingly that "It is a cruel irony that we surround children with pictures and toys of animals and plants, only to focus subsequently on more conceptual knowledge, marginalising environmental education relative to the wider curriculum." Its recommendation is that "every child in every country is owed the teaching of natural history, to be introduced to the awe and wonder of the natural world, and to appreciate how it contributes to our lives." In our poll, 63% of Scottish adults strongly supported and 30% tended to support the statement that "Schools should encourage children to connect with nature more".




Childhood and adolescence are formative periods of life that can define a person's future connection with nature and therefore their potential to seek it out and derive wellbeing benefit from time spent in nature. Policies that build a child's sustainable, long-term connection with nature have the potential to deliver iterative benefits that accrue across a person's life.

However, as discussed earlier, research shows a pronounced dip in a young person's relationship with nature around the ages of 10-12 which does not recover until their 30s. Secondary schools, therefore, should be a priority for targeted action. As reported above, in our own poll of Scottish adults, younger age groups were more likely to say that they did not want to or did not enjoy spending time in nature, with this feeling at its highest among adults in the lowest age category – 18-24-year-olds.

Our research shows that outdoor education can benefit children's mental wellbeing, with education outside the classroom for older children found to promote social wellbeing, particularly for pupils of low socioeconomic status.

The Dasgupta review of The Economics of biodiversity argues compellingly that "It is a cruel irony that we surround children with pictures and toys of animals and plants, only to focus subsequently on more conceptual knowledge, marginalising environmental education relative to the wider curriculum." Its recommendation is that "every child in every country is owed the teaching of natural history, to be introduced to the awe and wonder of the natural world, and to appreciate how it contributes to our lives." In our poll, 63% of Scottish adults strongly supported and 30% tended to support the statement that "Schools should encourage children to connect with nature more".



Action: Expand opportunities for food growing and horticultural activities

07. **The Scottish Government should invest in food growing and horticulture community groups that help boost empowerment, reduce stress, promote healthy eating, and tackle social isolation.**

The COVID-19 pandemic has exposed a worsening loneliness crisis across Scotland among all age groups, particularly for young people. Food growing and horticultural activities can facilitate people engaging with nature while at the same time connecting with others in their community. In our survey, 22% of respondents agreed with the statement that being close to nature reduces any feelings of loneliness.

The Scottish Government should invest in an expansion of food growing and horticultural community groups and should encourage local authorities to target such schemes towards groups at risk of social isolation.

There are already examples where Scotland's communities are hosting successful community-led food growing and horticulture projects. Such projects can reduce isolation and can provide opportunities for cross-generational socialisation.





Action: Incentivise environmentally friendly outdoor hospitality

08. The Scottish Government should kick-start outdoor hospitality by incentivising environmentally friendly outdoor hospitality space.

Hospitality businesses should be encouraged to increase their outdoor service capacity in the long-term. COVID-19 has demonstrated that we need outdoor spaces for socialising in order to support people's wellbeing. As restrictions continue to ease, hospitality settings should be encouraged to increase and improve their outdoor service capacity while minimising any detrimental impact on the environment.

Scotland stands to benefit from adopting a more Scandinavian style of living. In these countries, people are generally more at ease when embracing outdoor (and often colder) environments as part of their everyday lives.

The pandemic presents Scotland with a unique opportunity to transform our collective attitude towards outdoor living by embracing outdoor environments into our everyday lives. The Scottish Government can play an important role by incentivising environmentally friendly outdoor hospitality space.



References

- Aerts, R., Honnay, O., & Van Nieuwenhuysse, A. (2018). Biodiversity and human health: Mechanisms and evidence of the positive health effects of diversity in nature and green spaces. *British Medical Bulletin*, 127(1), 5–22. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldyO21>
- Alderton, A., Villanueva, K., O'connor, M., Boulangé, C., & Badland, H. (2019). Reducing inequities in early childhood mental health: How might the neighborhood built environment help close the gap? A systematic search and critical review. In *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* (Vol. 16, Issue 9). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16091516>
- Berry, B. J. L., & Okulicz-Kozaryn, A. (2011). An urban-rural happiness gradient. *Urban Geography*, 32(6), 871–883.
- Birch, J., Rishbeth, C., & Payne, S. R. (2020). Nature doesn't judge you – how urban nature supports young people's mental health and wellbeing in a diverse UK city. *Health and Place*, 62 (October 2019), 102296. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2020.102296>
- Bølling, M., Niclasen, J., Bentsen, P., & Nielsen, G. (2019). Association of Education Outside the Classroom and Pupils' Psychosocial Well-Being: Results From a School Year Implementation. *Journal of School Health*, 89(3), 210–218. <https://doi.org/10.1111/josh.12730>
- Bragg, R., & Atkins, G. (2016). A review of nature-based interventions for mental health care. Natural England Commissioned Report NECR204.
- Bratman, G. N., Anderson, C. B., Berman, M. G., Cochran, B., de Vries, S., Flanders, J., Folke, C., Frumkin, H., Gross, J. J., Hartig, T., Kahn, P. H., Kuo, M., Lawler, J. J., Levin, P. S., Lindahl, T., Meyer-Lindenberg, A., Mitchell, R., Ouyang, Z., Roe, J., Daily, G. C. (2019). Nature and mental health: An ecosystem service perspective. *Science Advances*, 5(7). <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aaxO903>
- Bratman, G. N., Hamilton, J. P., & Daily, G. C. (2012). The impacts of nature experience on human cognitive function and mental health. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1249(1), 118–136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06400.x>
- Britton, E., Kindermann, G., Domegan, C., & Carlin, C. (2020). Blue care: A systematic review of blue space interventions for health and wellbeing. *Health Promotion International*, 35(1), 50–69. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/day103>
- Cameron, R. W. F., Brindley, P., Mears, M., McEwan, K., Ferguson, F., Sheffield, D., Jorgensen, A., Riley, J., Goodrick, J., Ballard, L., & Richardson, M. (2020). Where the wild things are! Do urban green spaces with greater avian biodiversity promote more positive emotions in humans? *Urban Ecosystems*, 23(2), 301–317. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11252-020-00929-z>
- Capaldi A., C. A., Dopko L., R. L., & Zelenski, J. M. (2014). The relationship between nature connectedness and happiness: A meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5(AUG). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00976>
- Carver, A., Lorenzon, A., Veitch, J., Macleod, A., & Sugiyama, T. (2020). Is greenery associated with mental health among residents of aged care facilities? A systematic search and narrative review. *Aging and Mental Health*, 24(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2018.1516193>
- Chalmin-Pui, L. S., Roe, J., Griffiths, A., Smyth, N., Heaton, T., Clayden, A., & Cameron, R. (2021). "It made me feel brighter in myself" – The health and well-being impacts of a residential front garden horticultural intervention. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 205(March 2020), 103958. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2020.103958>
- Cheesbrough, A. E., Garvin, T., & Nykiforuk, C. I. J. (2019). Everyday wild: Urban natural areas, health, and well-being. *Health and Place*, 56(June 2018), 43–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2019.01.005>

- Cleary, A., Roiko, A., Burton, N. W., Fielding, K. S., Murray, Z., & Turrell, G. (2019). Changes in perceptions of urban green space are related to changes in psychological well-being: Cross-sectional and longitudinal study of mid-aged urban residents. *Health and Place*, 59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2019.102201>
- Cox, D. T. C., Shanahan, D. F., Hudson, H. L., Plummer, K. E., Siriwardena, G. M., Fuller, R. A., Anderson, K., Hancock, S., & Gaston, K. J. (2017). Doses of neighborhood nature: The benefits for mental health of living with nature. In *BioScience* (Vol. 67, Issue 2, pp. 147–155). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biw173>
- Daams, M. N., & Veneri, P. (2017). Living Near to Attractive Nature? A Well-Being Indicator for Ranking Dutch, Danish, and German Functional Urban Areas. *Social Indicators Research*, 133(2), 501–526. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-016-1375-5>
- Davies, A., Homolova, L., Grey, C., Fisher, J., Burchett, N., & Kousoulis, A. (2019). Supporting farming communities at times of uncertainty: an action framework to support the mental health and well-being of farmers and their families.
- Dobson, A. P., Pimm, S. L., Hannah, L., Kaufman, L., Ahumada, J. A., Ando, A. W., Bernstein, A., Busch, J., Daszak, P., Engelmann, J., Kinnaird, M. F., Li, B. V., Loch-Temzelides, T., Lovejoy, T., Nowak, K., Roehrdanz, P. R., & Vale, M. M. (2020). Ecology and economics for pandemic prevention. *Science*, 369(6502), 379 LP – 381. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abc3189>
- Easterlin, R. A., Angelescu, L., & Zweig, J. S. (2011). The impact of modern economic growth on urban-rural differences in subjective well-being. *World Development*, 39(12), 2187–2198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2011.04.015>
- Ghosh, I. (2019). Mapping the World's Urban Population in 2050. *Visual Capitalist*.
- Hatala, A. R., Njeze, C., Morton, D., Pearl, T., & Bird-Naytowhow, K. (2020). Land and nature as sources of health and resilience among Indigenous youth in an urban Canadian context: A photovoice exploration. *BMC Public Health*, 20(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-08647-z>
- Hedblom, M., Knez, I., Ode Sang, & Gunnarsson, B. (2017). Evaluation of natural sounds in urban greenery: Potential impact for urban nature preservation. *Royal Society Open Science*, 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170037>
- Holli-Anne Passmore, Leanne Martin, Miles Richardson, Matthew White, Anne Hunt, and S. P. (2020). Parental/Guardians' Connection to Nature Better Predicts Children's Nature Connectedness than Visits or Area-Level Characteristics. *Ecopsychology*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1089/eco.2020.0033>
- Hordyk, S. R., Hanley, J., & Richard, É. (2015). "Nature is there; its free": Urban greenspace and the social determinants of health of immigrant families. *Health and Place*, 34, 74–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2015.03.016>
- Hyvönen, K., Törnroos, K., Salonen, K., Korpela, K., Feldt, T., & Kinnunen, U. (2018). Profiles of nature exposure and outdoor activities associated with occupational well-being among employees. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9(MAY), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00754>
- Jiricka-Pürerer, A., Tadini, V., Salak, B., Taczanowska, K., Tucki, A., & Senes, G. (2019). Do protected areas contribute to health and well-being? A cross-cultural comparison. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16071172>
- Keniger, L. E., Gaston, K. J., Irvine, K. N., & Fuller, R. A. (2013). What are the benefits of interacting with nature? *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 10(3), 913–935. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph10030913>
- Kruize, H., van Kamp, I., van den Berg, M., van Kempen, E., Wendel-Vos, W., Ruijsbroek, A., Swart, W., Maas, J., Gidlow, C., Smith, G., Ellis, N., Hurst, G., Masterson, D., Triguero-Mas, M., Cirach, M., Gražulevičienė, R., van den Hazel, P., & Nieuwenhuijsen, M. (2020). Exploring mechanisms underlying the relationship between the natural outdoor environment and health and well-being – Results from the PHENOTYPE project. *Environment International*, 134(October 2019), 105173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105173>

- Lackey, N. Q., Tysor, D. A., McNay, G. D., Joyner, L., Baker, K. H., & Hodge, C. (2019). Mental health benefits of nature-based recreation: a systematic review. *Annals of Leisure Research, O(O)*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/11745398.2019.1655459>
- Largo-Wight, E., Guardino, C., Wludyka, P. S., Hall, K. W., Wight, J. T., & Merten, J. W. (2018). Nature contact at school: The impact of an outdoor classroom on children's well-being. *International Journal of Environmental Health Research, 28*(6), 653–666. <https://doi.org/10.1080/O9603123.2018.1502415>
- Luck, G. W., Davidson, P., Boxall, D., & Smallbone, L. (2011). Relations between Urban Bird and Plant Communities and Human Well-Being and Connection to Nature. *Conservation Biology, 25*(4), 816–826. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2011.01685.x>
- Lynch, H., Moore, A., Edwards, C., & Horgan, L. (2018). Community Parks and Playgrounds Intergenerational Participation through Universal Design.
- Mackay, C. M. L., & Schmitt, M. T. (2019). Do people who feel connected to nature do more to protect it? A meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 65*, 101323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2019.101323>
- Martin, L., White, M. P., Hunt, A., Richardson, M., Pahl, S., & Burt, J. (2020). Nature contact, nature connectedness and associations with health, wellbeing and pro-environmental behaviours. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 68*(February 2019), 101389. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2020.101389>
- McAllister, E., Bhullar, N., & Schutte, N. S. (2017). Into the woods or a stroll in the park: How virtual contact with nature impacts positive and negative affect. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 14*(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14070786>
- McEwan, K., Ferguson, F. J., Richardson, M., & Cameron, R. (2020). The good things in urban nature: A thematic framework for optimising urban planning for nature connectedness. *Landscape and Urban Planning, 194*, 103687. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2019.103687>
- McEwan, K., Richardson, M., Sheffield, D., Ferguson, F. J., & Brindley, P. (2019). A smartphone app for improving mental health through connecting with urban nature. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 16*(18), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16183373>
- McMahan, E. A., & Estes, D. (2015). The effect of contact with natural environments on positive and negative affect: A meta-analysis. *The Journal of Positive Psychology, 10*(6), 507–519. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2014.994224>
- Mears, M., Brindley, P., Jorgensen, A., & Maheswaran, R. (2020a). Population-level linkages between urban greenspace and health inequality: The case for using multiple indicators of neighbourhood greenspace. *Health and Place, 62* (December 2019), 102284. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2020.102284>
- Mears, M., Brindley, P., Jorgensen, A., & Maheswaran, R. (2020b). Population-level linkages between urban greenspace and health inequality: The case for using multiple indicators of neighbourhood greenspace. *Health and Place, 62*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2020.102284>
- Menardo, E., Brondino, M., Hall, R., & Pasini, M. (2019). Restorativeness in Natural and Urban Environments: A Meta-Analysis. In *Psychological Reports*. SAGE Publications Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1177/OO33294119884063>
- Mitchell, R. J., Richardson, E. A., Shortt, N. K., & Pearce, J. R. (2015). Neighborhood Environments and Socioeconomic Inequalities in Mental Well-Being. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 49*(1), 80–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2015.01.017>
- Natural England. (2020). The People and Nature Survey for England: Key findings for the period April to June 2020 (Experimental Statistics).
- O'Brien, L., Morris, J., & Stewart, A. (2014). Engaging with peri-urban woodlands in England: The contribution to people's health and well-being and implications for future management. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 11*(6), 6171–6192. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph110606171>

- O'Brien, L., & Murray, R. (2006). A marvellous opportunity for children to learn; A participatory evaluation of forest schools in Wales and England.
- Office of national Statistics, (2018) Deaths <https://www.ons.gov.uk/aboutus/transparencyandgovernance/freedomofinformationfoi/farmersuicidesinenglandandwales> Retrieved from the Internet in May 2021
- Peen, J., Schoevers, R. A., Beekman, A. T., & Dekker, J. (2010). The current status of urban-rural differences in psychiatric disorders. In *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* (Vol. 121, Issue 2, pp. 84–93). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.2009.01438.x>
- Piccininni, C., Michaelson, V., Janssen, I., & Pickett, W. (2018). Outdoor play and nature connectedness as potential correlates of internalized mental health symptoms among Canadian adolescents. *Preventive Medicine*, 112(April), 168–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2018.04.020>
- Richardson, M., Dobson, J., Abson, D. J., Lumber, R., Hunt, A., Young, R., & Moorhouse, B. (2020). Applying the pathways to nature connectedness at a societal scale: a leverage points perspective. *Ecosystems and People*, 16(1), 387–401. <https://doi.org/10.1080/26395916.2020.1844296>
- Richardson, M., & Hamlin, I. (2021). Noticing nature, nature connectedness and time in nature: Associations with Human and Nature's Wellbeing during the Corona Pandemic. <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/kd7bz>
- Richardson, M., Cormack, A., McRobert, L., & Underhill, R. (2016). 30 days wild: Development and evaluation of a large-scale nature engagement campaign to improve well-being. *PLoS ONE*, 11(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149777>
- Richardson, M., Hallam, J., & Lumber, R. (2015). One thousand good things in nature: Aspects of nearby nature associated with improved connection to nature. *Environmental Values*, 24(5), 603–619. <https://doi.org/10.3197/O96327115X14384223590131>
- Richardson, M., Hunt, A., Hinds, J., Bragg, R., Fido, D., Petronzi, D., Barbett, L., Clitherow, T., & White, M. (2019). A measure of nature connectedness for children and adults: Validation, performance, and insights. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(12), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU11123250>
- Richardson, M., McEwan, K., Maratos, F., & Sheffield, D. (2016). Joy and Calm: How an Evolutionary Functional Model of Affect Regulation Informs Positive Emotions in Nature. *Evolutionary Psychological Science*, 2(4), 308–320. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40806-016-0065-5>
- Richardson, M., Passmore, H.-A., Lumber, R., Thomas, R., & Hunt, A. (2021). Moments, not minutes: The nature-wellbeing relationship. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 11(1), 8–33. <https://doi.org/10.5502/ijw.v11i1.1267>
- Richardson, M., Passmore, H., Barbett, L., Lumber, R., Thomas, R., & Hunt, A. (2020). The green care code: How nature connectedness and simple activities help explain pro nature conservation behaviours. *People and Nature*, 2(3), 821–839. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10117>
- Richardson, M., & Sheffield, D. (2017). Three good things in nature: Noticing nearby nature brings sustained increases in connection with nature. *Psychology*, 8(1), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21711976.2016.1267136>
- Rugel, E. J., Carpiano, R. M., Henderson, S. B., & Brauer, M. (2019). Exposure to natural space, sense of community belonging, and adverse mental health outcomes across an urban region. *Environmental Research*, 171, 365–377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.01.034>
- Sahlin, E., Johansson, B., Karlsson, P. O., Loberg, J., Niklasson, M., & Grahn, P. (2019). Improved wellbeing for both caretakers and users from a zoo-related nature based intervention—a study at nordens ark zoo, sweden. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(24). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16244929>
- Scott, J. T., Kilmer, R. P., Wang, C., Cook, J. R., & Haber, M. G. (2018). Natural Environments Near Schools: Potential Benefits for Socio-Emotional and Behavioral Development in Early Childhood. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 62(3–4), 419–432. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12272>
- Slee, V., & Allan, J. F. (2019). Purposeful Outdoor Learning Empowers Children to Deal with School Transitions. *Sports*, 7(6), 134. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports7060134>

- Soga, M., Evans, M. J., Tsuchiya, K., & Fukano, Y. (2020). A room with a green view: the importance of nearby nature for mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Ecological Applications*, *0*(0), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2248>
- Spencer, L. H., Lynch, M., Lawrence, C. L., & Edwards, R. T. (2020). A scoping review of how income affects accessing local green space to engage in outdoor physical activity to improve well-being: Implications for post-COVID-19. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(24), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249313>
- Thomas, G., & Thompson, G. (2005). A child's place: Why environment matters to children. In *Ecos* (Vol. 26, Issue 1).
- Thompson, C. W., Elizalde, A., Cummins, S., Leyland, A. H., Botha, W., Briggs, A., Tilley, S., de Oliveira, E. S., Roe, J., Aspinall, P., & Mitchell, R. (2019). Enhancing health through access to nature: How effective are interventions in woodlands in deprived urban communities? A quasi-experimental study in Scotland, UK. *Sustainability* (Switzerland), *11*(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10023317>
- Toews, B., Wagenfeld, A., & Stevens, J. (2018). Impact of a nature-based intervention on incarcerated women. *International Journal of Prisoner Health*, *14*(4), 232–243. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPH-12-2017-0065>
- van den Bosch, M. A., Östergren, P. O., Grahn, P., Skärbäck, E., & Währborg, P. (2015). Moving to serene nature may prevent poor mental health— results from a Swedish longitudinal cohort study. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *12*(7), 7974–7989. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph120707974>
- van den Bosch, M., & Ode Sang. (2017). Urban natural environments as nature-based solutions for improved public health – A systematic review of reviews. *Environmental Research*, *158*, 373–384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.05.040>
- Wang, D., Brown, G., & Liu, Y. (2015). The physical and non-physical factors that influence perceived access to urban parks. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *133*, 53–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.09.007>
- Warber, S. L., Dehudy, A. A., Bialko, M. F., Marselle, M. R., & Irvine, K. N. (2015). Addressing “nature-deficit disorder”: A mixed methods pilot study of young adults attending a wilderness camp. *Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine*, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/651827>
- White, M. P., Alcock, I., Grellier, J., Wheeler, B. W., Hartig, T., Warber, S. L., Bone, A., Depledge, M. H., & Fleming, L. E. (2019). Spending at least 120 minutes a week in nature is associated with good health and wellbeing. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44097-3>
- Wolf, L. J., Zu Ermgassen, S., Balmford, A., White, M., & Weinstein, N. (2017). Is variety the spice of life? An experimental investigation into the effects of species richness on self-reported mental well-being. *PLoS ONE*, *12*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0170225>
- Zhang, J. W., Piff, P. K., Iyer, R., Koleva, S., & Keltner, D. (2014). An occasion for unselfing: Beautiful nature leads to prosociality. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *37*, 61–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.11.008>

The following staff at the Mental Health Foundation contributed to this report by drafting, editing, or providing feedback:

Chiara Lombardo, Catherine Negus, David Troy, Adam Nice, Chris O'Sullivan, Shari McDaid, Emily Wooster, Lucy Thorpe, Catherine Seymour, Antonis Kousoulis, Mark Rowland.

We would like to extend our thanks for his contribution to Professor Miles Richardson, from the University of Derby, for his support in reviewing this report.

Recommended citation: Mental Health Foundation. (2021). Nature. How connecting with nature benefits our mental health

All figures described as coming from 'our poll' are from YouGov Plc. Total sample size was 4274 UK adults. Fieldwork was undertaken between 6th - 8th April 2021. The survey was carried out online. The figures have been weighted and are representative of all UK adults (aged 18+).



#ConnectWithNature
#MentalHealthAwarenessWeek

